

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**Specification Objections**

Examiner has made objections to the specification. In response, Applicant has appropriately amended the specification.

Claim Rejections- 35 USC 112

Examiner has rejected Claims 8 – 10 under 35 USC 112. In response, Applicant has appropriately amended Claim 8.


Allowable Subject Matter

Examiner has stated that Claims 2, 3, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response, Applicant has appropriately amended the claims so that Claims 1, 3, 14 and 16 are written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has cancelled claims 2 and 15. All other claims are dependent upon allowable independent claims and should therefore be allowable also.

Conclusion

Thus, for all the reasons given above, this application, as the claims are presently limited, defines a novel, patentable, and truly valuable invention. Hence allowance of all outstanding claims in this application is respectfully submitted to be proper and is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,



John R. Ross, III
Ross Patent Law Office
Regis. No. 43060
PO Box 2138
Del Mar, CA 92014
Phone: 858-755-3122
Fax: 858-755-3122